IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

AMY NOTTINGHAM	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
V.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO). H-13-01894
	§	
OXY, INC.	§	
Defendant	§ JURY DEMANDEI)

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE

Plaintiff, Amy Nottingham, moves in limine, prior to the voir dire examination and out of the presence and hearing of the jury panel, that the Court order all parties, attorneys, and witnesses not to refer, directly or indirectly, in any manner whatsoever, in the presence of the jury panel, or the jury finally selected to try this case, to any of the following matters without first approaching the Court out of the presence of the jury or jury panel, so that the Court may determine the admissibility or relevancy of such matters before they are injected into the case in the presence of the jury or jury panel. The matters are set forth in the attached proposed Order.

Respectfully submitted,

CLINE | AHMAD

By: /s/ Nasim Ahmad
Nasim Ahmad
State Bar No. 24014186
Delana G. Cline
State Bar. No. 24009960
21 Waterway, Suite 300
The Woodlands, Texas 77380
Telephone: (713) 254-3761
Facsimile: (281) 864-4379

OF COUNSEL:

AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI & MENSING P.C.

Joseph Y. Ahmad State Bar No. 00941100 Federal I.D. No. 11604 3460 Houston Center 1221 McKinney Street Houston, Texas 77010

Telephone: (713) 655-1101 Telecopier: (713) 655-0062

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AMY NOTTINGHAM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was forwarded by efile system to all counsel of record on this the 23rd day of January 2015, as follows:

Holly H. Williamson Hunton & Williams LLP 700 Louisiana St., Suite 4200 Houston, Texas 77002

> /s/ Nasim Ahmad Nasim Ahmad

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

	OTTINGHAM laintiff	§ §	
v.		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-13-01894
OXY, IN D	C. efendant	§ §	JURY DEMANDED
	ORDER ON PLAIN	TIFFS	MOTION IN LIMINE
A	fter hearing the arguments of c	ounsel,	and reviewing the applicable authorities, the
Court her	reby orders that Defendant and its	counsel	are precluded from referring to, discussing, or
eliciting	testimony on any of the following	g issues,	without first approaching the Court out of the
presence of the jury, so that the Court may determine the admissibility of such matters before			
they are introduced into the case.			
1.			ment that "anybody can file a lawsuit" or that a subject to a factual review.
	GRANTED	_	DENIED
2.	communications with the concerning possible settlen communications and respinadmissible for any purpose Mundy v. Household Finant Firestone Tire & Rubber C.	e Defendent of consest the se and a consecution, 713	ne Plaintiff or her attorneys have had any idant, or its attorneys or representatives, this case, including the contents of any such hereto. Such settlement negotiations are re highly prejudicial. FED. R. CIV. EVID. 408; b., 885 F.2d 542 (9th Cir. 1989); <i>McHann v.</i> F.2d 161 (5th Cir. 1983) (finding settlement y probably drew improper inference from
	GRANTED		DENIED

3.		circumstances under which the Plaintiff employed k. Leasing Corp. v. Manieri, 325 S.W.2d 912 (Text ref'd n.r.e.).
	GRANTED	DENIED
4.	case on a contingency fee bas abuses its discretion in ma contingency rate. Rather, the pursuant to the "lodestar" me	estimony that Plaintiff's attorneys are handling this sis. The Fifth Circuit has held that a district cour king an award of attorney's fees based on a ne calculation must be based on an hourly rate ethod for calculating attorney's fees. <i>Hensley v</i> 39 (1983); <i>Todd v. AIG Life Insurance Co.</i> , 47 F.30
	GRANTED	DENIED
5.	Plaintiff or any reference to the employees, individuals, or the indicating that Plaintiff's attornals.	character of the legal practice of the attorneys for the fact that Plaintiff's attorneys typically represent the like. Any references should be limited to the news represent Plaintiff in this case. Any other such trejudicial, irrelevant, and would make it impossible tal. FED. R. EVID. 401, 403.
	GRANTED	DENIED
6.	that will permit Plaintiff's reco Plaintiff's recovery. Any suc advising the jury or jury pane	fic issues in this case are "Plaintiff" issues or issues overy or "defensive" issues or issues that will defeat h argument or inference would have the effect of el of the effect of its answers to special issues in declearly prejudice the right of the Plaintiff to a fair
	GRANTED	DENIED
7.	Plaintiff or requests that Plaint such requests in the presence	Defendant for any stipulations from counsel for tiff produce any documents from her files. Making of the jury or jury panel is improper. Such matters Court out of the presence of the jury or jury panel
	GRANTED	DENIED

8.	requests before the jury for m would include statements, p mention, demand or request	ir counsel be instructed not to make demands or latters found or contained in the Plaintiff's file which leadings, photographs and other documents, nor to further or additional medical examinations, physical ests during the course of the trial and in the presence
	GRANTED	DENIED
9.	instances of bad conduct. Ut	s that the Plaintiff has engaged in extraneous specific inder the Federal Rules of Civil Evidence, testimony tests is inadmissible unless such acts are probative of 608.
	GRANTED	DENIED
10.	was not actually relied upor Plaintiff, write-up Plaintiff, Plaintiff. Any such remark	ns that Plaintiff engaged in any misconduct which on by the Defendant in its decision to terminate or deny any position, promotion or raises to the swould be extremely prejudicial, irrelevant, and r Plaintiff to receive a fair trial. FED. R. EVID. 401,
	GRANTED	DENIED
11.	Defendant or that Plaintiff dis	off previously asserted any other claims against smissed any such claim. Any such remarks would be rant, and would make it impossible for Plaintiff to VID. 401, 403.
	GRANTED	DENIED
12.	told by anyone with knowled involved in this case because	Defendant or Defendant's representatives have been edge regarding any of the issues or circumstances use such statements are hearsay and compromise time any witnesses. FED. R. EVID. 802.
	GRANTED	DENIED
13.	Any reference to, or asking or received and admitted into ev	questions from, any documents which have not been idence.
	GRANTED	DENIED

14.	Defendant, their finances,	of the effect of the case, verdict, or judgment on the their ability to increase employment or retain current or future employees or business opportunities.
	GRANTED	DENIED
15.	determination of liability o	t that the jury's verdict is not the final, binding r damages. Any such remarks would be extremely would make it impossible for Plaintiff to receive a fair
	GRANTED	DENIED
16.	Any mention of the Plaintiniterest.	ff's right to recover pre-judgment and post-judgment
	GRANTED	DENIED
17.	Any mention that any recover federal income taxation or a	very by the Plaintiff would or would not be subject to ny other form of taxation.
	GRANTED	DENIED
18.	improper to adduce evidence award of damages. Any suc	very by Plaintiff is or is not subject to taxation. It is e of or comment on the taxation or lack thereof on any ch remarks would be extremely prejudicial, irrelevant, ble for Plaintiffs to receive a fair trial. FED. R. EVID.
	GRANTED	DENIED
19.	relief from the Court or the reference to this Motion in	at the Plaintiff has filed a Motion in Limine requesting at such relief has been granted by this Court. Any Limine or any relief that might have been granted a deprive the Plaintiff of a fair trial.
	GRANTED	DENIED
SIGN	ED on this the day of _	, 2015.
		HONORABLE KENNETH M. HOYT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT